



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Clinical and biological progress over 50 years in Rett syndrome

Citation for published version:

Leonard, H, Cobb, S & Downs, J 2017, 'Clinical and biological progress over 50 years in Rett syndrome', *Nature Reviews Neurology*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 37-51. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2016.186>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1038/nrneurol.2016.186](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrneurol.2016.186)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Nature Reviews Neurology

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



RARE DISEASES

Clinical and biological progress over 50 years in Rett syndrome

Helen Leonard¹, Stuart Cobb² and Jenny Downs¹

¹Telethon Kids Institute, 100 Roberts Road, Subiaco, Perth, Western Australia 6008, Australia.

²Institute of Neuroscience and Psychology, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, University Avenue, Glasgow, G128QQ, UK.

Correspondence to H.L.

helen.leonard@telethonkids.org.au

Abstract | In the 50 years since Andreas Rett first described the syndrome that came to bear his name and now known to be caused by a mutation in the methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) gene, a compelling blend of astute clinical observations and clinical and laboratory research has substantially enhanced our understanding of this rare disorder. Here, we document the contributions of the early pioneers in RTT research, and describe the evolution of knowledge in terms of diagnostic criteria, clinical variation, and the interplay with other Rett-related disorders. We provide a synthesis of what is known about the neurobiology of MeCP2, considering the lessons learned from both cell and animal models, and how they might inform future clinical trials. With a focus on the core criteria, we examine the relationships between genotype and clinical severity. We review current knowledge about the many comorbidities that occur in RTT, and how genotype may modify their presentation. We also acknowledge the important drivers that are accelerating this research programme, including the roles of research infrastructure, international collaboration and advocacy groups. Finally, we highlight the major milestones since 1966, and what they mean for the day-to-day lives of individuals with RTT and their families.

Introduction

In 1966, Andreas Rett first reported on a series of 22 young female patients with similar characteristics. He was initially alerted to their similarities when he observed two of the group sitting together in his waiting room, demonstrating almost identical stereotypic hand movements¹ (FIG. 1), and so the gestalt of Rett syndrome (RTT) was first recognized. RTT was initially thought to be of metabolic origin because of an apparent association with hyperammonaemia, but this idea was later discounted because of laboratory error. 17 years later, Bengt Hagberg and colleagues attributed Rett's name to the condition that they had also seen in their patients². The disorder affected girls, who initially seemed to develop normally, but began to lose their previously achieved abilities — in particular, hand use and speech — at 7–18 months of age (or sometimes later, as has subsequently been shown³).

Our aim in this Review is to describe the 50-year journey from the recognition of RTT to the present day, a journey that has included iterations of the diagnostic criteria and growing understanding of the clinical and biological variation of the disorder. We focus particularly on the discovery that RTT is caused by a mutation on the *MECP2* gene, the burgeoning knowledge of its neurobiology, and ensuing pathways to clinical trials. We include a detailed review of the phenotype and observed relationships with genotype, and reflect on how knowledge of RTT has advanced rapidly, in part due to database infrastructure, international collaborations and strong advocacy groups.

Pivotal discoveries and advances

The original description of RTT by Hagberg and colleagues² (FIG. 1) was followed by an explosion of literature about the disorder, much of which was published as proceedings of early meetings held in Vienna and Baltimore.

An important outcome of the first Vienna symposium was the need for a set of clinical criteria to facilitate diagnosis (FIG. 1), and a schema of clinical characteristics with eight inclusionary and four exclusionary criteria was published soon afterwards⁴. Over the past three decades, these criteria have undergone several iterations^{5–7}.

The international workshop held in Baltimore was co-sponsored by a newly formed parent organization, the International Rett Syndrome Association, and was attended by over 85 health-care professionals, along with 70 girls with RTT and their families. This workshop was the beginning of a close collaboration between parents and researchers, which has contributed greatly to the rapid advancement of knowledge in this condition. A case series that emerged as a consequence was seminal in informing the medical community about the clinical features of this disorder⁸, as was the description of 19 cases in the west of Scotland⁹.

A staging system, which characterized the disease profile into four distinct phases, was developed from information relating to 29 Swedish cases¹⁰. On the basis of citation history, this system seems to have been widely adopted but, as has not yet been formally validated in the light of the currently available genetic knowledge and longitudinal data.

The pivotal discoveries that followed on from the original clinical revelations are outlined in FIG. 1, and their enormous significance will become clear as we follow the story of RTT — in the laboratory, in the clinic and across the world — over a further three decades.

Identifying the genetic cause of RTT

The relationship between the *MECP2* gene and RTT was discovered in the Zoghbi laboratory in 1999 (REF. 11) (FIG. 1). This crucial milestone was reached as a consequence of preceding exclusion mapping studies, which had narrowed down the area of interest on chromosome Xq28 (REFS 12,13). The nuclear protein MeCP2 had hitherto been of interest largely in the field of epigenetics, and the finding that MeCP2 lay at the root of RTT resulted in a convergence of clinical, neuroscience and epigenetics researchers to begin to understand the disease process.

This momentous discovery had two immediate sequelae, the first being its impact on research. A second study from the Zoghbi laboratory identified a *MECP2* mutation in just over three-quarters of screened patients with sporadic RTT, and in two of seven familial cases¹⁴. Severity was scored from previous clinical observations, and mutations were categorized as either truncating or missense. Although non-random X-inactivation also affected the phenotype, no overall genotype–phenotype relationships were identified at this stage¹⁴. However, this was just the first of numerous such investigations that were conducted across the globe in the ensuing years^{15–18}. One of the earliest papers identified *MECP2* mutations in 80% of typical RTT cases¹⁸. These included eight recurrent missense and nonsense mutations, which are now known to account for almost two-thirds of the mutations seen in RTT^{19,20} (FIG. 2).

The second consequence of Zoghbi's findings was the burgeoning availability of genetic testing, at least in European countries with equitable public funding systems, and for appropriately insured patients in the USA. Sadly, however, genetic testing remains inaccessible to patients in many countries. Techniques other than direct sequencing, such as multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), which is necessary for the

identification of large deletions of exon 3 and 4 (REFS 21,22), have also become available. Such developments would have major implications for the subsequent identification of these mutation types.

Neurobiology of MeCP2

RTT is not considered to be a degenerative brain condition, but patients with this condition exhibit reductions in gross brain volume, which are associated with the presence of abnormally small, densely packed neurons with reduced dendritic complexity and synapse density²³. The discovery, in 1999, that genetic lesions in the *MECP2* gene represent the underlying cause of RTT¹¹ dramatically intensified efforts to model the disorder biologically.

MeCP2 is essential for normal brain function. Much of the work on MeCP2 has relied on patient-derived cells^{24–28} and genetically modified mice, including *Mecp2*-knockout lines^{29,30} (FIG. 1), as well as a variety of conditional knockout lines in which the gene has been deleted from specific brain regions or brain cell types^{30–38}, or at different stages of development³⁹. This work has shown that loss of MeCP2 disrupts the given brain region or system from which it is deleted, and that localized disruption results in a subset of the commonly observed symptoms of RTT. Deletion from GABAergic circuits, which are ubiquitous across brain systems, produces a near-complete *Mecp2*-null phenotype, including motor and cognitive impairments³². By contrast, deletion from glutamatergic cells causes anxiety and tremor⁴⁰. Interestingly, postnatal deletion of *Mecp2*, even within a mature nervous system, results in RTT-like phenotypes^{41,42}.

In mouse models, activation of a previously silenced *Mecp2* allele globally, or within GABAergic neurons, reverses many established RTT-like phenotypes, including locomotor, behavioural and aberrant functional and structural synaptic plasticity^{43–45} (FIG. 1). This finding suggests that many of the features that characterize an RTT-like disorder in mice are amenable to reversal, but also indicates that RTT is not a straightforward neurodevelopmental disorder, and MeCP2 has an essential and ongoing role in the mature nervous system. These observations have important implications when considering potential therapeutic interventions. An important caveat in interpreting the mouse data is that hemizygous (*Mecp2*^{-/y}) null male mice are frequently used experimentally, owing to their more overt and rapidly apparent phenotypes. One should note, however, that heterozygous (*Mecp2*^{+/-}) female mice are the most accurate genetic representation of most patients with RTT, despite the fact that they develop overt phenotypes at a much later developmental time point than do humans.

MeCP2 is especially abundant in postmitotic neurons^{46,47}, but is also expressed at modest levels in non-neuronal cells in the brain^{48,49} and in other tissues throughout the body^{50,51}. Deletion of *Mecp2* from glia in mice has relatively minor phenotypic consequences, but restoration of MeCP2 to astrocytes in an otherwise MeCP2-deficient nervous system results in partial amelioration of phenotypes, including normalization of breathing patterns, motor activities and anxiety levels⁴⁸. As also indicated in primary culture experiments⁵², MeCP2 in glial cells might contribute to certain non-cell-autonomous functions, such as supporting normal dendritic morphology through the release of trophic factors within the nervous system. However, a lack of functional MeCP2 in neurons is generally considered to be the dominant driver of RTT⁵³.

MeCP2 in non-neural cells. The relative importance of MeCP2 in peripheral tissues is unclear. The consequences of global MeCP2 deficiency are observed in several peripheral systems, and they include fatty liver and metabolic disease⁵⁴, lung lesions⁵⁵, cardiac effects^{56,57}, and aberrant bone phenotypes^{58,59}. Selective deletion of *Mecp2* in hepatocytes did not recapitulate metabolic dysfunction (insulin resistance, glucose tolerance, altered circulating fatty acids) or overt neurological effects⁵⁴ seen in in knockout mice but did recapitulate the fatty liver seen in some *Mecp2*-null lines, possibly reflecting a phenotype with a genuine peripheral origin. Evidence has also been obtained for altered bone cell regulation in MeCP2-deficient osteocytes⁶⁰, probably explaining the osteoporotic phenotypes described in RTT. By contrast, no changes have been observed in skeletal muscle following selective local *Mecp2* deletion⁶¹.

Overall, MeCP2 depletion studies have revealed that the majority of RTT-like behavioural, sensorimotor and autonomic phenotypes are associated with MeCP2 deficiency in the brain. However, some less extreme but still clinically significant aspects of the disorder may arise independently of defects in the nervous system⁵¹.

MECP2 mutations and protein function. The structure and function of MeCP2 protein have been reviewed in detail elsewhere^{39,62}. The two known protein isoforms of MeCP2 differ only at the extreme N-terminus and, despite some evidence for isoform-specific functions⁶³, the two forms are considered to be largely functionally equivalent^{53,64} although MeCP2_e1 is the dominant brain isoform. MeCP2 was originally discovered as a result of a biochemical screen for factors that interact with DNA, in particular, with methylated cytosines (within the context of CpG sequences)⁶⁵. MeCP2 is a nuclear protein that tracks DNA methylation by virtue of its methyl-CpG-binding domain (MBD)⁶⁶. Emerging evidence suggests that the MBD of MeCP2 does not exclusively interact with CpG dinucleotides, but also has an affinity for methylated CpA⁶⁷. The MBD is also reported to interact with 5-hydroxymethylcytosine-containing DNA^{68,69}, and these modified DNA sequence contexts might be of special importance in the brain⁷⁰. The importance of the MBD is highlighted by the fact that pathogenic missense mutations in this region cause reduced binding to methylated DNA⁷¹. Regions distinct from the MBD, including AT-hooks⁷² and a basic cluster⁷³, have also been implicated in DNA binding. The functional importance of these regions remains to be fully established, but it is possible that together with the MBD, they contribute to chromatin structure.

A presumed major function of MeCP2 is to regulate gene expression at either a local or a global level. DNA methylation is a modification that is linked to gene silencing, and a long-held view is that MeCP2 is important in transcriptional repression⁷⁴. However, MeCP2 has also been linked to gene activation⁷⁵. MeCP2 interacts with a wide range of proteins³⁹, including the histone deacetylase co-repressor complexes SIN3A, NCOR (nuclear receptor co-repressor) and SMRT (also known as NCOR2)^{76–79}. The NCOR–SMRT interaction domain (NID) has been mapped within the wider transcriptional repression domain (TRD) of MeCP2, and a cluster of RTT-causing missense mutations, including the common Arg306Cys variant, have been shown to disrupt this interaction⁷¹ (FIG. 2). These findings have led to the idea of a bridge model, whereby MeCP2 functions as a tether between DNA and the NCOR–SMRT complex, and missense mutations at either end of the bridge will result in RTT⁷¹. Recent reports suggest that MeCP2-associated transcriptional regulation is preferentially targeted to long genes, which might be important in the downstream cellular pathologies^{80,81}.

In addition to the repressor model of MeCP2 function, a number of alternative or overlapping functions have been ascribed, including direct roles in chromatin remodelling (compaction)⁸², gene activation⁷⁵, regulation of alternative splicing^{83,84}, and microRNA (miRNA) processing⁸⁵. In turn, MeCP2 function can be regulated by miRNAs^{86,87} and activity-dependent phosphorylation^{88,89}. The relevance of this latter mechanism to RTT is unclear, as no RTT-causing point mutations have been reported within known MeCP2 phosphorylation sites. The level of MeCP2 within a given cell type is believed to be crucial for normal cellular homeostasis, and both loss of function and overexpression have neurological consequences^{53,90–92}. *MECP2* Duplication syndrome, the clinical manifestation of overexpression, is more commonly reported in males^{91,93}, and its phenotype is gradually being delineated. When modelled in mice, *MECP2* duplication syndrome, like RTT, has shown the potential for phenotypic reversal when MeCP2 levels are restored to normal⁹⁴.

Loss of MeCP2 alters the cellular levels of many gene products, but the effects at the individual gene level are typically small^{75,95}, and are likely to be cell-type-specific. The fact that a wide variety of genes are affected suggests that the existence of a single pathogenic pathway that can act as a focus for all therapeutic interventions is unlikely. Downstream, many cellular systems are disrupted, and there have been reports of altered synaptic function and plasticity^{43,96–100}, reduced protein synthesis¹⁰¹, impaired mitochondrial function¹⁰², oxidative stress¹⁰³, and alterations in various signalling and homeostatic pathways, such as the mTOR–AKT pathway¹⁰¹ and energy and lipid metabolism⁵⁴. The relative importance of these effects to cellular dysfunction may depend on the type and state of the cell.

Clinical features and diagnosis

Diagnosis of Rett syndrome and related disorders: evolution over time. Until 1999, RTT remained solely a clinical diagnosis, based initially on the Vienna criteria⁴, and subsequently on modifications made by a US group⁵ (FIG. 1). The modifications included a slight expansion of the exclusion criteria, and the addition of a set of supportive criteria relating to breathing dysfunction, peripheral vasomotor disturbances, seizures, scoliosis, growth retardation and small feet.

The revised diagnostic criteria were initially restricted to include only classic cases of RTT (BOX 1), with the intention of providing a homogenous patient population for epidemiological research¹⁰⁴. Subsequently, it was recommended that cases not fulfilling all the necessary criteria should be designated as atypical¹⁰⁵. In Europe, the term ‘variant’ was used to describe a range of Rett-like phenotypes that were categorized as atypical in other regions. These phenotypes included forme fruste (BOX 2), congenital forms, infantile seizure onset¹⁰⁶ male, late childhood regression and preserved speech variants¹⁰⁷. Subsequently, a model to categorize atypical RTT in “a girl with unspecified mental retardation, aged 10 years or more” was developed, and required the presence of three or more primary criteria and five or more supportive criteria¹⁰⁸ (BOX 2; FIG. 1). The purpose of this model was to cover the full range of clinical manifestations that are likely to be encompassed by the underlying biological disorder, which was subsequently revealed by the discovery of the true genetic cause of RTT¹¹ (FIG. 1).

At a meeting in Baden-Baden in 2001, the existing three sets of criteria^{4,5,108} were assessed and combined to form two new versions, one for classic RTT (BOX 1) and one recognizing atypical RTT (BOX 2) as its own entity⁶ (FIG. 1). The new criteria reflected some additional lessons that had been learned since the formulation of the previous criteria, such

as the fact that early development was not invariably normal¹⁰⁹, and that head growth did not always decelerate¹¹⁰.

In 2010, a further set of criteria was introduced in the hope of clarifying some of the differences in terminology between Europe and North America⁷ (FIG. 1). In contrast to previous iterations, and in addition to the four core criteria relating to loss of hand skills, loss of spoken language, gait abnormality and stereotypic hand movements, a mandatory criterion of a period of regression followed by recovery or stabilization was introduced. For atypical RTT, a period of regression was also mandatory, but only two of the four criteria were required, along with at least five of eleven supportive criteria.

One may question the need for the additional regression criterion, given that regression in some patients is often described as “fleeting or unrecognized”¹¹¹, or may not yet have occurred at the time of genetic testing, which is now widely used by clinicians diagnosing RTT. Although dependence on clinical criteria without genetic confirmation is necessary in some parts of the world, in many developed countries direct sequencing is being replaced by a range of next-generation sequencing techniques, including targeted gene sequencing, whole-exome sequencing and whole-genome sequencing. Consequently, molecular testing for children with developmental problems could be undertaken at an early age before the hallmark features that characterize particular disorders have become apparent. These technological advances may eventually prove to be more efficient and cost-effective for diagnosis¹¹², and the RTT clinical criteria that relate to the evolution of the disorder could become redundant.

The final component of the most recent criteria⁶ provides further clinical description of some of the original ‘variant’ forms, two of which — the early seizure onset variant now recognized as the CDKL5 disorder¹¹³ and the congenital variant, mostly caused by mutations in *FOXG1*¹¹⁴ — must now be considered only as Rett-related disorders¹¹¹. The third atypical form, the Zappella or preserved speech variant¹⁰⁷, is most often associated with an p.Arg133Cys mutation¹¹⁵ or a C-terminal deletion¹¹⁶ (FIG. 2). However, by additionally describing the forme fruste, late regression and male variants, Hagberg had already provided the best delineation of the full spectrum of clinical presentations¹¹⁷. As we reflect today on these early descriptors, we can see how well they fit with our current understanding of the relationships between genotype and phenotype.

Overall severity and relationship with genotype. As early as 1987, the issue of the danger of masking the true clinical variation in RTT (BOXES 1,2) by the adoption of ‘artificial’ inclusion and exclusion criteria based on phenotype and not on cause was raised by the esteemed medical geneticist John Opitz¹¹⁸. Much later, and endorsing this concept in a different way, Hagberg acknowledged the wide clinical variation of what he called the “*MECP2*-deviant phenotypes,” with a spectrum ranging from the severe newborn encephalopathy in males to the female carrier mothers¹¹⁹. We now know, as Opitz might have predicted, that much of this spectrum relates to the type of genetic mutation, with the very mild variants often represented by individuals with C-terminal deletions in *MECP2* (REFS ^{119–121}) (BOX 2). Although RTT is mostly considered to be a clinical diagnosis, a fine line remains between the naming of such individuals as “female forme fruste Rett syndrome variants”¹¹⁹ or as “people without Rett syndrome”¹²¹.

The Australian register first provided the means to examine the spectrum of presentations in a total RTT population cohort using three previously published measures, designated as the Kerr¹²², Percy¹²³ and Pineda¹²⁴ scores¹²⁵. Considerable variability in the

early regression period, current functioning and comorbidities, much of which was subsequently shown to relate to genotype, was demonstrated, and severity generally increased with age.

Despite numerous small studies, it took time to accumulate adequate data to provide consistency in genotype–phenotype relationships. The two most seminal studies were published within months, the first using data from InterRett¹²⁶, and the second from the US Natural History study¹²⁷. Where comparable, the findings were broadly similar, with the most severe mutations being Arg270X, Arg255X and Arg168X, whereas Arg133Cys, Arg294X and C-terminal deletions produced less-severe phenotypes (BOXES 1,2; FIGS 2,3a). Overall, individuals with severe mutations were less likely to walk, retain hand use or use words, and tended to be diagnosed at an earlier age¹²⁸ (FIGS 2,3b,4). A group with large deletions, which was not included in the initial InterRett study, was subsequently described separately, thereby confirming earlier US findings¹²⁷ of phenotypic severity¹²⁹ (FIGS 2,3a). A later publication also studied the C-terminal deletions — a milder group which, due to their comparatively later loss of skills and onset of stereotypies¹²⁰, fit with the initial ‘late regression’ descriptor (BOX 2; FIGS 2,3a). Also of interest were the better growth parameters and increased likelihood of kyphosis in individuals with C-terminal deletions¹²⁰. Information from these^{120,126,127,129} and other studies²⁰ is enormously useful when considering prognosis in RTT, although it is clear that genotype is but one factor, and other factors, such as X-inactivation¹³⁰, genetic modifiers¹³¹ and, possibly, environmental factors¹³², also have a role (BOX 2).

Variation in functional abilities. The classic signs of RTT include severe functional impairments, usually necessitating substantial support in daily life. Subtle changes in development often precede the onset of regression¹⁰⁹, which is characterized by either gradual or sudden loss of hand and communication skills, loss of balance, and development of hand stereotypies^{7,133}. Patterns in the relationships between genotype and hand and gross motor skills have been observed^{126,134,135}. Although cross-sectional studies suggest that motor function declines with increasing age, further longitudinal research is necessary to confirm or refute this idea. For example, some adults with RTT — probably those with a mutation associated with a milder phenotype — retain the capacity to walk^{136,137} (FIGS 2,4a). Similarly for communication, those with milder mutations such as Arg133Cys or Arg306Cys are more likely to learn to babble or use words prior to regression, to regress at a later age, to retain some oral communication skills after regression, and to be diagnosed later^{115,128} (see FIGS 2,3b,4c).

For individuals with RTT, a fundamental goal is to build the capacity for movement and communication in everyday life, and with a deeper understanding of motor deficits, the potential role of the enriched environment¹³², and technological advances in assisted communication systems, the capacity to respond is expanding. However, no relevant studies, beyond single or small case series^{138,139}, have been conducted, so we do not fully understand what interventions are associated with favourable outcomes, and how treatments should be modified for variation in phenotype.

Comorbidities and their management

Epilepsy. Epilepsy is a particularly challenging comorbidity to study in RTT. Although the EEG is uniformly abnormal, typically from about 18 months of age¹⁴⁰, this finding does not necessarily reflect seizure activity¹⁴¹. Moreover, some seizures seen during video–EEG

monitoring may not be recognized by caregivers as clinical events, and many events characterized by caregivers as seizures are not associated with EEG seizure discharges. These issues have contributed to difficulties in validating an epilepsy diagnosis and recording the seizure history for research, and probably to the comparative dearth of literature.

With these caveats in mind, a number of investigations have been undertaken to study epilepsy in RTT. Epilepsy was diagnosed in 95% of a Swedish representative series ($n = 53$), although seizure frequency declined with age¹⁴². In one Australian study, the prevalence of epilepsy diagnosis was 81%, with a median age of onset of 4 years¹⁴³. In another study, seizure rates were found to be generally higher in individuals with greater clinical severity and lower in those with Arg294X or Arg255X mutations or C-terminal deletions¹⁴⁴. In recent years, three substantially sized studies have reported on epilepsy in RTT^{145–147}. On average, just over 60% of cases were diagnosed with epilepsy, but in a US study¹⁴⁵, a lower proportion had physician-verified seizures. Variations that were observed in relation to the effects of genotype (FIGS 2,5a) may have resulted from methodological differences, but in all three studies the mutation Thr158Met conferred some additional risk of epilepsy^{145–147}.

Growth and nutrition. Growth retardation was listed among the early supportive criteria for RTT⁵, with head growth deceleration occurring first, followed later by slowing of weight and height increase, and even of hand and foot growth¹⁴⁸. Although the exact underlying mechanism remains unclear^{149–151}, a definite relationship with genotype exists^{120,150}. Growth charts have been generated using cross-sectional and longitudinal data from 816 US cases, and the growth failure was found to be more pronounced in individuals with the more severe Thr158Met, Arg168X, Arg255X, Arg270X and large deletion mutations¹⁵² (FIGS 2,3a).

Enteral support for individuals with RTT is common practice in developed countries. This approach is now being used in over one-quarter of cases¹⁵³, particularly those with large deletion and Arg168X mutations (FIG. 2), with apparent benefits in terms of both growth parameters and parental satisfaction¹⁵³. A large multinational group collated existing evidence and used expert opinion to provide guidance on the assessment and management of growth and feeding problems in RTT¹⁵⁴. These published guidelines, which are available in user-friendly formats for clinicians and families, represent an important step in tackling this comorbidity¹⁵⁴.

Autonomic dysfunction. Individuals with RTT commonly exhibit abnormal breathing patterns, which are considered to be a manifestation of autonomic dysregulation. These problems generally present either as episodes of hyperventilation or breath-holding^{155,156}. Abdominal bloating, which in rare cases can lead to gastric perforation¹⁵⁷, is a common sequela, and may need alleviation through the release of air via a gastrostomy. Vasomotor disturbances causing cold and blue hands and feet were also identified as supportive clinical criteria for RTT⁵.

Despite the intensive autonomic monitoring that is now undertaken in some European centres¹⁵⁵, the prevalence and natural history of these disturbances, and their potential relationships with genotype, remain unknown. The literature on autonomic disturbance in humans with RTT is currently lagging behind that in animal models¹⁵⁸. This knowledge gap is worrying, given that animal studies suggest the need for pharmacological interventions, and clinical trials of compounds that aim to reduce autonomic dysfunction are imminent.

Scoliosis. With the combination of neurological impairment and altered motor skills in individuals with RTT, the development of deformity such as scoliosis can be relentless. An early case series indicated that neurological signs were often asymmetrical, with the right side being more severely affected¹⁵⁹, and subsequent larger studies found scoliosis to be a common deformity^{160,161}. In the Australian study, 75% of girls developed scoliosis by 15 years of age, with earlier onset in those with more severe mutations, such as Arg255X or large deletions¹⁶⁰ (FIGS 2,5b). Scoliosis is usually progressive, particularly in children who are unable to walk, and in those with most common mutations other than Arg306Cys¹⁶⁰. The health implications can be profound, as scoliosis with a Cobb angle greater than 70° has particularly detrimental effects on respiratory health¹⁶².

In response to a poor evidence base, an international group developed a set of clinical guidelines for the management of scoliosis in individuals with RTT, using the available literature but also drawing heavily on the literature for neuromuscular scoliosis. The consensus was that scoliosis should be regularly monitored and spinal fusion considered when the Cobb angle is greater than 50° (REF. 163). In a subsequent study, spinal fusion was associated with improved survival and, in individuals with early-onset scoliosis, a moderate reduction in frequency of severe respiratory tract infections¹⁶⁴. This information is important for clinicians and families when weighing up the pros and cons of spinal fusion in individual girls and circumstances¹⁶⁵.

Sleep disturbances. Sleep disturbances have recently been considered as supportive criteria for RTT^{6,7}, and their burden on the affected person and their family is often considerable. An early Australian study in individuals with RTT ($n = 83$) reported poor night-time sleep overall, and daytime naps that persisted with age¹⁶⁶. Seizure disorders were associated with increased daytime sleep, and ability to walk was associated with less daytime sleep¹⁶⁶. Further population-based research found a high prevalence of sleep problems, some of which (in particular, night laughing and screaming) decreased with age^{167,168}. The highest likelihood of sleep problems was observed in individuals with a large deletion, in whom night laughing was particularly common, or Arg294X^{167,168} (FIG. 2).

A recent study, which used InterRett for ascertainment, surveyed parents or carers of 364 genetically confirmed cases aged 2–57 years¹⁶⁹. Night waking was frequent and, consistent with previous research, individuals with the Arg294X mutation were most likely to have problems initiating and maintaining sleep¹⁶⁹ (FIGS 2,5c). Individuals with epilepsy and/or limited mobility were more likely to have excessive somnolence, also consistent with earlier findings¹⁶⁶.

In one small clinical trial ($n = 9$), melatonin seemed to improve total sleep time and efficiency in individuals with poor sleep quality at baseline, without any adverse effects¹⁷⁰. Considering the frequency of sleep dysfunction in RTT, and its impact on the child and their family, our evidence base for management remains remarkably sparse.

Bone health. Unlike other comorbidities, adverse bone health has not been one of the supportive criteria for RTT. Susceptibility to osteopenia and fractures was first highlighted through US¹⁷¹ and Australian research^{172,173}. Fracture risk was four times higher in individuals with RTT than in the general female population, and was specifically increased in those with Arg168X and Arg270X mutations¹⁷³ (FIG. 2).

Several Danish^{174,175}, US^{176,177} and Australian studies^{178,179} have investigated which particular bone parameters are most adversely affected in RTT, and their potential nutritional¹⁸⁰ (for example, vitamin D status) environmental and genetic risk factors. Risk factors for fractures, such as genotype¹⁷³ and use of certain antiepileptic medications¹⁸¹, did not always correlate exactly with those for low bone density, which also varied by outcome parameter and body site. For example, in comparison with other parameters, right femoral neck areal bone mineral density was particularly impaired with increasing age and lack of mobility¹⁷⁸. A recent Danish study concluded that comparatively reduced levels of biochemical bone markers in RTT signified a low bone turnover state¹⁸². Cross-study comparison has been hindered by non-representative and small sample sizes, often without longitudinal collection, as well as a lack of childhood population bone parameter norms and accommodation for decreased stature and different analytical methods.

MeCP2 deficiency has been shown to alter the biomechanical integrity of bone in a mouse model^{58,59}, underlining the importance of understanding bone health in RTT. A set of guidelines for bone health was developed, which aimed to provide the best available evidence at time of publication¹⁸³. We hope that these guidelines can soon be modified with results from clinical trials assessing the effectiveness of drugs such as bisphosphonates in RTT¹⁸⁴.

Therapeutic strategies

The increased understanding of MeCP2 function and the availability of valid cellular and animal models has fuelled efforts to identify and develop therapeutic strategies for RTT^{185–188}. These efforts include targeting of the various brain systems and downstream cellular processes that are affected in RTT, as well as approaches that target the root cause of the disorder, namely, MeCP2 dysfunction¹⁸⁵ (FIG. 6).

Approaches that target MeCP2 at the level of the gene or protein to restore functional MeCP2 within the nervous system are appealing, as they have the potential to produce profound amelioration or reversal of symptoms, as demonstrated by reversal studies in mice^{43,44,189}. Such approaches involve molecular and genetic manipulations, ranging from gene transfer^{190,191} and protein substitution to novel forms of DNA and RNA editing¹⁹². However, the level of MeCP2 in a given cell may be critical¹⁹³, and restoring MeCP2 function without producing overexpression-related pathology is likely to be a significant challenge. Strategies targeting *MECP2* typically require the development of completely novel molecules, which creates substantial uncertainty in terms of adequate brain delivery, safety and ensuing regulatory hurdles. The MeCP2 protein is a macromolecule with multiple functional domains, and restoration of normal function using small-molecule drugs is not considered to be practical. However, it might be possible to develop small molecules to act at the genomic level to reactivate the *MECP2* allele on the inactive X chromosome¹⁹⁴, or at the level of RNA to enable read-through of nonsense mutations^{195,196}.

In contrast to targeting of *MECP2*, pharmacological strategies that target downstream mechanisms in the pathogenic process can make use of small molecules that have already been developed or approved for other indications. Indeed, several drugs with proven efficacy in *Mecp2* knockout mice have proceeded to clinical trials in patients with RTT¹⁸⁵ (FIG. 6). However, such approaches do not address the underlying aetiology, and the lack of a dominant cellular process or pathway downstream of MeCP2 deficiency suggests that the benefits could be restricted to a subset of symptoms. The approaches that have

been developed to date can be broadly divided into three categories: pharmacological agents that affect major neurotransmitter systems in the brain, most notably glutamate, GABA, acetylcholine and monoamines (FIG. 6); drugs and trophic factors that promote brain growth and development, mostly by modulating the brain-derived neurotrophic factor pathway; and drugs that modulate other cellular processes known to be perturbed in models of RTT, such as energy metabolism and protein synthesis.

Clinical trial design

Clinical trials for rare disorders present many challenges, including mutation heterogeneity, variation in disease severity, and the pool of available participants. Additional considerations include the optimal time for intervention and the nature of trial design.¹⁸⁵ Important starting points include high-quality natural history data, and objective and robust outcome measures. Several clinical severity scores^{122,124,197} have worked well in studies of genotype–phenotype relationships^{126,127}, but have not necessarily proved to be optimal as outcome measures in clinical trials¹⁹⁸. For example, the Motor-Behavioral Assessment (MBA), which comprises 39 items scored with a five-point scale to describe clinical severity¹⁹⁹, was used in one clinical trial¹⁹⁸. However, this scale is poorly operationalized, with some items describing historical aspects of regression, and has never been validated. Similarly, the Rett Syndrome Behaviour Questionnaire²⁰⁰ was developed for the purpose of differentiating individuals with RTT from those with other causes of intellectual disability before genetic testing became available. This questionnaire has been used successfully in genotype–phenotype studies to assess some aspects of behaviour such as mood and anxiety^{137,201}, but may not appropriately measure behaviour as an outcome in a clinical trial. A clear need exists for the further development of such instruments, and work is currently underway in this regard²⁰².

The Clinical Global Impression scales are clinician-rated, seven-point rating scales used to describe severity and change, and have recently been adapted to RTT for use in clinical trials²⁰³. This process has involved the development of seven category descriptors for the domains of communication, ambulation, hand use, use of eye contact, autonomic function, seizures, and attentiveness. Initial validation studies, including testing of responsiveness to change, are being undertaken²⁰³. More-sensitive measures of specific domains are also becoming available. For example, the 15-item Rett Syndrome Gross Motor Scale has undergone substantial validation suggesting capacity to demonstrate responses to interventions in the motor domain¹³⁵. Wearable technologies have also been used for objective measurement of the patterns and regularity of respiratory and cardiac function in RTT in small observational studies^{156,204}, and in a recent clinical trial¹⁹⁸. Thus, some progress is being made in the important area of outcome measures, but much work is still needed to ensure that future clinical trials are able to provide the necessary answers.

Global efforts to study a rare disorder

Epidemiology. The Texas registry, which used multiple sources of ascertainment monitored with capture–recapture methods, was the first population-based registry to be established for RTT²⁰⁵. This registry provided a model for the Australian Rett Syndrome Database (FIG. 1), which in 1997 reported that RTT had a cumulative incidence of 0.96 per 10,000 females by the age of 12 years²⁰⁶. Further studies in 2011 demonstrated that the cumulative incidence was increasing with age, and that the median age at diagnosis had fallen from 4.5 years before 1999 to 3.5 years after this date²⁰⁷.

Infrastructures. The establishment of registries is a first step towards understanding the epidemiology, natural history and life expectancy of a rare disorder. Following Alison Kerr's use of the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit to launch the British Isles RTT Survey in 1990 (REF. 208), the Australian database (FIG. 1), established 3 years later, took advantage of the newly formed Australian Paediatric Surveillance Unit to ascertain cases²⁰⁶. The database has now been maintained for over two decades, and each additional year of follow-up increases its value¹³⁷, providing the capacity to monitor children into adulthood and identify trajectories of functioning and comorbidities²⁰⁹. Population-based longitudinal follow-up with minimization of attrition is essential for studies of life expectancy, but is uncommon in the field of rare disorders.

Genotype–phenotype investigations are, ideally, sourced from population-based sources²¹⁰, but when mutations are rare or effect sizes are small, large sample sizes, sometimes through the aggregation of data from multiple sources, can provide much greater power. InterRett is one infrastructure that has served this purpose well by collecting questionnaire data internationally from both clinicians and families in over 50 countries since 2003 (REF. 211) (FIG. 1). Another such infrastructure, but based solely in the US, is the Rare Disease Consortium Research Network for RTT¹⁴⁵, which was initially established in 2004 by Dr Alan Percy as a Natural History study²¹² (FIG. 1), and is now funded by the NIH. Although both of these data collections are likely by their nature to be highly selective, it has been possible to compare some characteristics of InterRett with an Australian population-based source²¹³. The InterRett families were of a somewhat higher socioeconomic status than the Australian families, but the distributions of mutation type were broadly comparable .

The original structure of the NIH-funded study involved the collection of data from clinic visits to inform the understanding of natural history. Currently, the main aim is to increase our understanding of the molecular basis of RTT, and to identify treatments that could improve functioning in affected individuals. Like InterRett, the European Rett Syndrome Database Network (EuroRett) combines data from multiple sources, and to date has mainly been applied to investigations on epilepsy¹⁴⁷. RettBASE, the *MECP2* Variation Database, has a different but valuable function, which is to catalogue the range of different genetic variants, both pathogenic and non-pathogenic, reported both in publications and from laboratories²¹⁴.

Role of advocacy groups. Advocacy groups have played a major part in the funding of infrastructures and RTT research. The main organization, which provides support and advocacy as well as funding, was established in 1984 as the International Rett Syndrome Association (IRSA)²¹⁵. When commenting about the achievements of this organization, its founder, Kathy Hunter, wrote that “parents soon understood the critical part they must play in making sure that funds are available for research” and “they also understand the need for them to participate vigorously in research”²¹⁶.

International collaboration — challenges and accomplishments. International collaborations are vital for rare disease research. Over the years, however, differences have emerged at the international level in the understanding of RTT, and particularly in its associated terminology. Such differences can hamper progress. One example is a simple scoring system initially proposed by a UK researcher, which has not been widely adopted in

North America¹²². Another is the wide variation in autonomic monitoring and management, which is underpinned by limited evidence¹⁵⁵. The Australian group has led a number of successful collaborative initiatives to develop guidelines for treatment of common RTT comorbidities. Often, in the absence of a strong evidence base, these initiatives depended on expert opinion garnered in a collegial fashion through the Delphi process^{154,163,183}.

Conclusions and future prospects

In terms of the clinical presentation, many components of the original model of RTT proposed by Hagberg still ring true. Over the past 50 years, life expectancy for individuals with RTT has increased dramatically, partly because of changing attitudes and allocation of resources towards the health care of those with disability.

The value of surgical treatment for scoliosis was first highlighted by Kerr *et al.*, who reported positively on family perspectives of well-being 1 year after the fusion operation²¹⁷. The benefits of this approach were further validated in recent studies, which used population-based data^{164,218}. Enteral nutrition is now commonly available, at least in developed countries, and preliminary evidence indicates a positive impact on growth¹⁵³. The beneficial effects of these management approaches may be reflected in the 71% survival rate at 25 years, reported in an Australian population cohort in 2010 (REF. 219), compared with 21% in Rett's original cohort. Recent population data, using longitudinal follow-up over more than two decades, suggest that approximately 60% of individuals with RTT will survive to their late thirties¹³⁷. This figure is considerably lower than the estimates of 50% at 50 years from the North American Database²²⁰ (data derived from 50% response to questionnaires administered to IRSA family members) and 75% at 45 years from a 9-year follow-up of the US Natural History sample²²¹. Both of the latter samples are large but select groups, and are likely to be more economically advanced than the general US population.

Other societal changes include our passage into the digital age: the value of connecting families affected by RTT via the Internet was first demonstrated only 12 years ago²²². Nowadays, social media sites are often the first port of call for families with a new diagnosis. Traditionally wary of patients seeking information from non-reputable sources, clinicians now appreciate the importance of this virtual peer support, especially for geographically isolated families affected by a rare disease.

The greatest explosion of knowledge on RTT has occurred in the 16 years since the discovery of the genetic cause. During this period, US and Australian natural history studies and international databases have informed our understanding of genotype–phenotype relationships, and the comorbidities that occur in this disorder. We have learned much about the function of the MeCP2 protein, in particular, in its role as a regulator of gene expression and its interaction with other proteins. The reversal of neurological deficits in a mouse model in 2007 (REF. 43) raised hopes of a treatment that can restore MeCP2 expression in humans.

Although some progress has been made in improving clinical management, we still lack treatment options to resolve or substantially reduce the comorbidities of RTT. Many individuals — as well as their families — are adversely affected by poor sleep, a substantial proportion have refractory epilepsy, no evidence-based management options are available for autonomic breathing abnormalities, and the best methods to improve functional ability are not yet known. These are all important clinical challenges to address.

The probability of translating promising preclinical outcomes to effective clinical treatments for nervous system disorders is modest, and expectations must be tempered

accordingly. However, the developing pipeline of putative therapies, and the coordinated efforts of clinicians, scientists and family organizations, together with increasing engagement of the biomedical industry, assure exciting developments ahead.

- 1 Rett, A. On a unusual brain atrophy syndrome in hyperammonemia in childhood [German]. *Wien. Med. Wochenschr.* **116**, 723-726 (1966).
- 2 Hagberg, B., Aicardi, J., Dias, K. & Ramos, O. A progressive syndrome of autism, dementia, ataxia, and loss of purposeful hand use in girls: Rett's syndrome: report of 35 cases. *Ann. Neurol.* **14**, 471-479 (1983).
- The initial clinical description of 35 cases of Rett syndrome in the English-speaking literature.**
- 3 Fehr, S., Downs, J., Bebbington, A. & Leonard, H. Atypical presentations and specific genotypes are associated with a delay in diagnosis in females with Rett syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **152A**, 2535-2542 (2010).
- 4 Hagberg, B., Goutières, F., Hanefeld, F., Rett, A. & Wilson, J. Rett syndrome: criteria for inclusion and exclusion. *Brain Dev.* **7**, 372-373 (1985).
- 5 [No authors listed] Diagnostic criteria for Rett syndrome. The Rett Syndrome Diagnostic Criteria Work Group. *Ann. Neurol.* **23**, 425-428 (1988).
- 6 Hagberg, B., Hanefeld, F., Percy, A. & Skjeldal, O. An update on clinically applicable diagnostic criteria in Rett syndrome. Comments to Rett Syndrome Clinical Criteria Consensus Panel Satellite to European Paediatric Neurology Society Meeting, Baden Baden, Germany, 11 September 2001. *Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol.* **6**, 293-297 (2002).
- 7 Neul, J. L. *et al.* Rett syndrome: revised diagnostic criteria and nomenclature. *Ann. Neurol.* **68**, 944-950 (2010).
- 8 Naidu, S., Murphy, M., Moser, H. W. & Rett, A. Rett syndrome — natural history in 70 cases. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Suppl.* **1**, 61-72 (1986).
- 9 Kerr, A. M. & Stephenson, J. B. Rett's syndrome in the west of Scotland. *Br. Med. J. (Clin. Res. Ed.)* **291**, 579-582 (1985).
- 10 Hagberg, B. & Witt-Engerström, I. Rett syndrome: a suggested staging system for describing impairment profile with increasing age towards adolescence. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Suppl.* **1**, 47-59 (1986).
- 11 Amir, R. E. *et al.* Rett syndrome is caused by mutations in X-linked *MECP2*, encoding methyl-CpG-binding protein 2. *Nat. Genet.* **23**, 185-188 (1999).
- This study established that Rett syndrome is a genetic disorder caused by mutations in the *MECP2* gene.**
- 12 Schanen, C. & Francke, U. A severely affected male born into a Rett syndrome kindred supports X-linked inheritance and allows extension of the exclusion map. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **63**, 267-269 (1998).
- 13 Sirianni, N., Naidu, S., Pereira, J., Pillotto, R. F. & Hoffman, E. P. Rett syndrome: confirmation of X-linked dominant inheritance, and localization of the gene to Xq28. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **63**, 1552-1558 (1998).
- 14 Amir, R. E. *et al.* Influence of mutation type and X chromosome inactivation on Rett syndrome phenotypes. *Ann. Neurol.* **47**, 670-679 (2000).
- 15 Bienvenu, T. *et al.* *MECP2* mutations account for most cases of typical forms of Rett syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9**, 1377-1384 (2000).
- 16 Hoffbuhr, K. *et al.* *MeCP2* mutations in children with and without the phenotype of Rett syndrome. *Neurology* **56**, 1486-1495 (2001).

- 17 Huppke, P., Held, M., Hanefeld, F., Engel, W. & Laccone, F. Influence of mutation type and location on phenotype in 123 patients with Rett syndrome. *Neuropediatrics* **33**, 63-68 (2002).
- 18 Cheadle, J. P. *et al.* Long-read sequence analysis of the *MECP2* gene in Rett syndrome patients: correlation of disease severity with mutation type and location. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9**, 1119-1129 (2000).
One of the most important of the early genotype–phenotype studies, this joint UK–Australian collaboration identified *MECP2* mutations in 80% of typical Rett syndrome cases. Each of the eight recurrent missense and nonsense mutations, which account for almost two-thirds of the mutations seen in Rett syndrome, were represented. Using a simple phenotype score, this study showed that missense mutations generally had milder effects than truncating mutations.
- 19 Dragich, J., Houwink-Manville, I. & Schanen, C. Rett syndrome: a surprising result of mutation in *MECP2*. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **9**, 2365-2375 (2000).
- 20 Cuddapah, V. A. *et al.* Methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) mutation type is associated with disease severity in Rett syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* **51**, 152-158 (2014).
- 21 Erlandson, A. *et al.* Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) detects large deletions in the *MECP2* gene of Swedish Rett syndrome patients. *Genet. Test.* **7**, 329-332 (2003).
- 22 Hardwick, S. A. *et al.* Delineation of large deletions of the *MECP2* gene in Rett syndrome patients, including a familial case with a male proband. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **15**, 1218-1229 (2007).
- 23 Armstrong, D., Dunn, J. K., Antalffy, B. & Trivedi, R. Selective dendritic alterations in the cortex of Rett syndrome. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* **54**, 195-201 (1995).
- 24 Marchetto, M. C. *et al.* A model for neural development and treatment of Rett syndrome using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell* **143**, 527-539 (2010).
- 25 Yazdani, M. *et al.* Disease modeling using embryonic stem cells: MeCP2 regulates nuclear size and RNA synthesis in neurons. *Stem Cells* **30**, 2128-2139 (2012).
- 26 Livide, G. *et al.* GluD1 is a common altered player in neuronal differentiation from both *MECP2*-mutated and *CDKL5*-mutated iPS cells. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **23**, 195-201 (2015).
- 27 Williams, E. C. *et al.* Mutant astrocytes differentiated from Rett syndrome patients-specific iPSCs have adverse effects on wild-type neurons. *Hum Mol Genet* **23**, 2968-2980 (2014).
- 28 Cheung, A. Y., Horvath, L. M., Carrel, L. & Ellis, J. X-chromosome inactivation in Rett syndrome human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Front. Psychiatry* **3**, 24 (2012).
- 29 Guy, J., Hendrich, B., Holmes, M., Martin, J. E. & Bird, A. A mouse *Mecp2*-null mutation causes neurological symptoms that mimic Rett syndrome. *Nat. Genet.* **27**, 322-326 (2001).
- 30 Chen, R. Z., Akbarian, S., Tudor, M. & Jaenisch, R. Deficiency of methyl-CpG binding protein-2 in CNS neurons results in a Rett-like phenotype in mice. *Nat. Genet.* **27**, 327-331 (2001).
References 29 and 30 described neurological deficits in *Mecp2*-knockout mice, establishing a model system for studying Rett syndrome.

- 31 Gemelli, T. *et al.* Postnatal loss of methyl-CpG binding protein 2 in the forebrain is sufficient to mediate behavioral aspects of Rett syndrome in mice. *Biol. Psychiatry* **59**, 468-476 (2006).
- 32 Chao, H. T. *et al.* Dysfunction in GABA signalling mediates autism-like stereotypies and Rett syndrome phenotypes. *Nature* **468**, 263-269 (2010).
- 33 Fyffe, S. L. *et al.* Deletion of *Mecp2* in *Sim1*-expressing neurons reveals a critical role for MeCP2 in feeding behavior, aggression, and the response to stress. *Neuron* **59**, 947-958 (2008).
- 34 Wang, X., Lacza, Z., Sun, Y. E. & Han, W. Leptin resistance and obesity in mice with deletion of methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (MeCP2) in hypothalamic pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) neurons. *Diabetologia* **57**, 236-245 (2014).
- 35 Samaco, R. C. *et al.* Loss of MeCP2 in aminergic neurons causes cell-autonomous defects in neurotransmitter synthesis and specific behavioral abnormalities. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 21966-21971 (2009).
- 36 Goffin, D., Brodtkin, E. S., Blendy, J. A., Siegel, S. J. & Zhou, Z. Cellular origins of auditory event-related potential deficits in Rett syndrome. *Nat. Neurosci.* **17**, 804-806 (2014).
- 37 Zhang, W., Peterson, M., Beyer, B., Frankel, W. N. & Zhang, Z. W. Loss of MeCP2 from forebrain excitatory neurons leads to cortical hyperexcitation and seizures. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 2754-2763 (2014).
- 38 Ward, C. S. *et al.* MeCP2 is critical within HoxB1-derived tissues of mice for normal lifespan. *J. Neurosci.* **31**, 10359-10370 (2011).
- 39 Lyst, M. J. & Bird, A. Rett syndrome: a complex disorder with simple roots. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **16**, 261-275 (2015).
- 40 Meng, X. *et al.* Manipulations of MeCP2 in glutamatergic neurons highlight their contributions to Rett and other neurological disorders. *Elife* **5**, e14199 (2016).
- 41 Cheval, H. *et al.* Postnatal inactivation reveals enhanced requirement for MeCP2 at distinct age windows. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **21**, 3806-3814 (2012).
- 42 McGraw, C. M., Samaco, R. C. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Adult neural function requires MeCP2. *Science* **333**, 186 (2011).
- 43 Guy, J., Gan, J., Selfridge, J., Cobb, S. & Bird, A. Reversal of neurological defects in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Science* **315**, 1143-1147 (2007).
- This study shows that overt neurological features seen in MeCP2-deficient mice can be substantially reversed by re-expression of the protein in adult mice.**
- 44 Robinson, L. *et al.* Morphological and functional reversal of phenotypes in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Brain* **135**, 2699-2710 (2012).
- 45 Ure, K. *et al.* Restoration of *Mecp2* expression in GABAergic neurons is sufficient to rescue multiple disease features in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Elife* **5**, e14198 (2016).
- 46 Shahbazian, M. D., Antalffy, B., Armstrong, D. L. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Insight into Rett syndrome: MeCP2 levels display tissue- and cell-specific differences and correlate with neuronal maturation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11**, 115-124 (2002).
- 47 Skene, P. J. *et al.* Neuronal MeCP2 is expressed at near histone-octamer levels and globally alters the chromatin state. *Mol. Cell* **37**, 457-468 (2010).
- 48 Lioy, D. T. *et al.* A role for glia in the progression of Rett's syndrome. *Nature* **475**, 497-500 (2011).

- 49 Nguyen, M. V. *et al.* Oligodendrocyte lineage cells contribute unique features to Rett syndrome neuropathology. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 18764-18774 (2013).
- 50 Song, C. *et al.* DNA methylation reader MECP2: cell type- and differentiation stage-specific protein distribution. *Epigenetics Chromatin* **7**, 17 (2014).
- 51 Ross, P. D. *et al.* Exclusive expression of MeCP2 in the nervous system distinguishes between brain and peripheral Rett syndrome-like phenotypes. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddw269> (2016).
- 52 Ballas, N., Lioy, D. T., Grunseich, C. & Mandel, G. Non-cell autonomous influence of MeCP2-deficient glia on neuronal dendritic morphology. *Nat. Neurosci.* **12**, 311-317, (2009).
- 53 Luikenhuis, S., Giacometti, E., Beard, C. F. & Jaenisch, R. Expression of MeCP2 in postmitotic neurons rescues Rett syndrome in mice. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 6033-6038 (2004).
- 54 Kyle, S. M., Saha, P. K., Brown, H. M., Chan, L. C. & Justice, M. J. MeCP2 co-ordinates liver lipid metabolism with the NCoR1/HDAC3 corepressor complex. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddw156> (2016).
- 55 De Felice, C. *et al.* Unrecognized lung disease in classic Rett syndrome: a physiologic and high-resolution CT imaging study. *Chest* **138**, 386-392 (2010).
- 56 Panighini, A. *et al.* Vascular dysfunction in a mouse model of Rett syndrome and effects of curcumin treatment. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e64863 (2013).
- 57 McCauley, M. D. *et al.* Pathogenesis of lethal cardiac arrhythmias in *Mecp2* mutant mice: implication for therapy in Rett syndrome. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **3**, 113ra125 (2011).
- 58 O'Connor, R. D., Zayzafoon, M., Farach-Carson, M. C. & Schanen, N. C. *Mecp2* deficiency decreases bone formation and reduces bone volume in a rodent model of Rett syndrome. *Bone* **45**, 346-356 (2009).
- 59 Kamal, B. *et al.* Biomechanical properties of bone in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Bone* **71**, 106-114 (2015).
- 60 Blue, M. E. *et al.* Osteoblast function and bone histomorphometry in a murine model of Rett syndrome. *Bone* **76**, 23-30 (2015).
- 61 Conti, V. *et al.* MeCP2 affects skeletal muscle growth and morphology through non cell-autonomous mechanisms. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0130183 (2015).
- 62 Guy, J., Cheval, H., Selfridge, J. & Bird, A. The role of MeCP2 in the brain. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **27**, 631-652 (2011).
- 63 Yasui, D. H. *et al.* Mice with an isoform-ablating *Mecp2* exon 1 mutation recapitulate the neurologic deficits of Rett syndrome. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23**, 2447-2458 (2014).
- 64 Kerr, B. *et al.* Transgenic complementation of MeCP2 deficiency: phenotypic rescue of *Mecp2*-null mice by isoform-specific transgenes. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **20**, 69-76 (2012).
- 65 Lewis, J. D. *et al.* Purification, sequence, and cellular localization of a novel chromosomal protein that binds to methylated DNA. *Cell* **69**, 905-914 (1992).
This paper describes the original discovery of MeCP2 as a DNA-binding protein.
- 66 Nan, X., Meehan, R. R. & Bird, A. Dissection of the methyl-CpG binding domain from the chromosomal protein MeCP2. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **21**, 4886-4892 (1993).
- 67 Guo, W. *et al.* VPA alleviates neurological deficits and restores gene expression in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *PLoS ONE* **9**, e100215 (2014).

- 68 Mellen, M., Ayata, P., Dewell, S., Kriaucionis, S. & Heintz, N. MeCP2 binds to 5hmC enriched within active genes and accessible chromatin in the nervous system. *Cell* **151**, 1417-1430 (2012).
- 69 Spruijt, C. G. *et al.* Dynamic readers for 5-(hydroxy)methylcytosine and its oxidized derivatives. *Cell* **152**, 1146-1159 (2013).
- 70 Kriaucionis, S. & Heintz, N. The nuclear DNA base 5-hydroxymethylcytosine is present in Purkinje neurons and the brain. *Science* **324**, 929-930 (2009).
- 71 Lyst, M. J. *et al.* Rett syndrome mutations abolish the interaction of MeCP2 with the NCoR/SMRT co-repressor. *Nat. Neurosci.* **16**, 898-902 (2013).
- This paper describes the interaction of MeCP2 with NCOR–SMRT, and shows that this interaction is abolished by Rett syndrome-causing mutations in this region.**
- 72 Baker, S. A. *et al.* An AT-hook domain in MeCP2 determines the clinical course of Rett syndrome and related disorders. *Cell* **152**, 984-996 (2013).
- 73 Heckman, L. D., Chahrour, M. H. & Zoghbi, H. Y. Rett-causing mutations reveal two domains critical for MeCP2 function and for toxicity in *MECP2* duplication syndrome mice. *Elife* **3**, e02676 (2014).
- 74 Bird, A. DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory. *Genes Dev.* **16**, 6-21 (2002).
- 75 Chahrour, M. *et al.* MeCP2, a key contributor to neurological disease, activates and represses transcription. *Science* **320**, 1224-1229 (2008).
- 76 Nan, X. *et al.* Transcriptional repression by the methyl-CpG-binding protein MeCP2 involves a histone deacetylase complex. *Nature* **393**, 386-389 (1998).
- 77 Jones, P. L. *et al.* Methylated DNA and MeCP2 recruit histone deacetylase to repress transcription. *Nat. Genet.* **19**, 187-191 (1998).
- 78 Kokura, K. *et al.* The Ski protein family is required for MeCP2-mediated transcriptional repression. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 34115-34121 (2001).
- 79 Stancheva, I., Collins, A. L., Van den Veyver, I. B., Zoghbi, H. & Meehan, R. R. A mutant form of MeCP2 protein associated with human Rett syndrome cannot be displaced from methylated DNA by Notch in *Xenopus* embryos. *Mol. Cell* **12**, 425-435 (2003).
- 80 Sugino, K. *et al.* Cell-type-specific repression by methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 is biased toward long genes. *J. Neurosci.* **34**, 12877-12883 (2014).
- 81 Gabel, H. W. *et al.* Disruption of DNA-methylation-dependent long gene repression in Rett syndrome. *Nature* **522**, 89-93 (2015).
- 82 Brero, A. *et al.* Methyl CpG-binding proteins induce large-scale chromatin reorganization during terminal differentiation. *J Cell Biol* **169**, 733-743 (2005).
- 83 Young, J. I. *et al.* Regulation of RNA splicing by the methylation-dependent transcriptional repressor methyl-CpG binding protein 2. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 17551-17558 (2005).
- 84 Maunakea, A. K., Chepelev, I., Cui, K. & Zhao, K. Intragenic DNA methylation modulates alternative splicing by recruiting MeCP2 to promote exon recognition. *Cell Res.* **23**, 1256-1269 (2013).
- 85 Cheng, T. L. *et al.* MeCP2 suppresses nuclear microRNA processing and dendritic growth by regulating the DGCR8/Drosha complex. *Dev. Cell* **28**, 547-560 (2014).
- 86 Klein, M. E. *et al.* Homeostatic regulation of MeCP2 expression by a CREB-induced microRNA. *Nat. Neurosci.* **10**, 1513-1514 (2007).

- 87 Han, K. *et al.* Human-specific regulation of MeCP2 levels in fetal brains by microRNA miR-483-5p. *Genes Dev.* **27**, 485-490 (2013).
- 88 Tao, J. *et al.* Phosphorylation of MeCP2 at serine 80 regulates its chromatin association and neurological function. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 4882-4887 (2009).
- 89 Ebert, D. H. *et al.* Activity-dependent phosphorylation of MeCP2 threonine 308 regulates interaction with NCoR. *Nature* **499**, 341-345 (2013).
- 90 Meins, M. *et al.* Submicroscopic duplication in Xq28 causes increased expression of the *MECP2* gene in a boy with severe mental retardation and features of Rett syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* **42**, e12 (2005).
- 91 Van Esch, H. *et al.* Duplication of the *MECP2* region is a frequent cause of severe mental retardation and progressive neurological symptoms in males. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **77**, 442-453 (2005).
- 92 Collins, A. L. *et al.* Mild overexpression of MeCP2 causes a progressive neurological disorder in mice. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **13**, 2679-2689 (2004).
- 93 Lim, Z., Downs, J., Wong, K., Ellaway, C. & Leonard, H. Expanding the clinical picture of the *MECP2* duplication syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/cge.12814> (2016).
- 94 Sztainberg, Y. *et al.* Reversal of phenotypes in *MECP2* duplication mice using genetic rescue or antisense oligonucleotides. *Nature* **528**, 123-126 (2015).
- 95 Tudor, M., Akbarian, S., Chen, R. Z. & Jaenisch, R. Transcriptional profiling of a mouse model for Rett syndrome reveals subtle transcriptional changes in the brain. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **99**, 15536-15541 (2002).
- 96 Dani, V. S. *et al.* Reduced cortical activity due to a shift in the balance between excitation and inhibition in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 12560-12565 (2005).
- 97 Nelson, E. D., Kavalali, E. T. & Monteggia, L. M. MeCP2-dependent transcriptional repression regulates excitatory neurotransmission. *Curr. Biol.* **16**, 710-716 (2006).
- 98 Chao, H. T., Zoghbi, H. Y. & Rosenmund, C. MeCP2 controls excitatory synaptic strength by regulating glutamatergic synapse number. *Neuron* **56**, 58-65 (2007).
- 99 Li, H., Zhong, X., Chau, K. F., Williams, E. C. & Chang, Q. Loss of activity-induced phosphorylation of MeCP2 enhances synaptogenesis, LTP and spatial memory. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 1001-1008 (2011).
- 100 Weng, S. M., McLeod, F., Bailey, M. E. & Cobb, S. R. Synaptic plasticity deficits in an experimental model of Rett syndrome: long-term potentiation saturation and its pharmacological reversal. *Neuroscience* **180**, 314-321 (2011).
- 101 Ricciardi, S. *et al.* Reduced AKT/mTOR signaling and protein synthesis dysregulation in a Rett syndrome animal model. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **20**, 1182-1196 (2011).
- 102 Kriaucionis, S. *et al.* Gene expression analysis exposes mitochondrial abnormalities in a mouse model of Rett syndrome. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 5033-5042 (2006).
- 103 De Felice, C. *et al.* Oxidative brain damage in *Mecp2*-mutant murine models of Rett syndrome. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **68**, 66-77 (2014).
- 104 Trevathan, E. & Adams, M. J. The epidemiology and public health significance of Rett syndrome. *J Child Neurol.* **3** (Suppl.), S17-S20 (1988).
- 105 Trevathan, E. Rett syndrome. *Pediatrics* **83**, 636-637 (1989).
- 106 Goutières, F. & Aicardi, J. Atypical forms of Rett syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. Suppl.* **1**, 183-194 (1986).

- 107 Zappella, M. The Rett girls with preserved speech. *Brain Dev.* **14**, 98-101 (1992).
- 108 Hagberg, B. A. & Skjeldal, O. H. Rett variants: a suggested model for inclusion criteria. *Pediatr. Neurol* **11**, 5-11 (1994).
- This paper developed a model for the clinical delineation of atypical cases of Rett syndrome, based on the presence of combined clusters of at least three of six primary criteria and at least five of 11 supportive manifestations in children aged ≥10 years. Importantly, the paper acknowledged that many of the supportive criteria, such as epilepsy and scoliosis, are not present in children aged <5 years, but appear with age.**
- 109 Leonard, H. & Bower, C. Is the girl with Rett syndrome normal at birth? *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **40**, 115-121 (1998).
- 110 Hagberg, G., Stenbom, Y. & Engerstrom, I. W. Head growth in Rett syndrome. *Brain Dev.* **23** (Suppl. 1), S227-S229 (2001).
- 111 Naidu, S. & Johnston, M. V. Neurodevelopmental disorders: Clinical criteria for Rett syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* **7**, 312-314 (2011).
- 112 Beale, S., Sanderson, D., Sanniti, A., Dundar, Y. & Boland, A. A scoping study to explore the cost-effectiveness of next-generation sequencing compared with traditional genetic testing for the diagnosis of learning disabilities in children. *Health Technol. Assess.* **19**, 1-90 (2015).
- 113 Fehr, S. *et al.* The CDKL5 disorder is an independent clinical entity associated with early-onset encephalopathy. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **21**, 266-273 (2013).
- 114 Ariani, F. *et al.* *FOXG1* is responsible for the congenital variant of Rett syndrome. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **83**, 89-93 (2008).
- 115 Urbanowicz, A., Downs, J., Girdler, S., Ciccone, N. & Leonard, H. Aspects of speech–language abilities are influenced by *MECP2* mutation type in girls with Rett syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **167A**, 354-362 (2015).
- 116 De Bona, C. *et al.* Preserved speech variant is allelic of classic Rett syndrome. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **8**, 325-330 (2000).
- 117 Hagberg, B. Clinical delineation of Rett syndrome variants. *Neuropediatrics* **26**, 62 (1995).
- 118 Opitz, J. M. & Lewin, S. O. Rett syndrome — a review and discussion of syndrome delineation and syndrome definition. *Brain Dev.* **9**, 445-450 (1987).
- 119 Erlandson, A. & Hagberg, B. *MECP2* abnormality phenotypes: clinicopathologic area with broad variability. *J. Child Neurol.* **20**, 727-732 (2005).
- 120 Bebbington, A. *et al.* Updating the profile of C-terminal *MECP2* deletions in Rett syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* **47**, 242-248 (2010).
- 121 Suter, B., Treadwell-Deering, D., Zoghbi, H. Y., Glaze, D. G. & Neul, J. L. Brief report: *MECP2* mutations in people without Rett syndrome. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.* **44**, 703-711 (2014).
- 122 Kerr, A. M. *et al.* Guidelines for reporting clinical features in cases with *MECP2* mutations. *Brain Dev.* **23**, 208-211 (2001).
- An international group developed a simple scoring system to assess clinical severity and capture the variability in Rett syndrome, especially for the purpose of genotype–phenotype comparisons. 20 items were included, and 2 points were allocated for a severe abnormality, 1 point for a perceptible but not extreme abnormality, and 0 points for no abnormality.**

- 123 Percy, A. K. Rett syndrome: clinical correlates of the newly discovered gene. *Brain Dev.* **23** (Suppl. 1), S202-S205 (2001).
- 124 Monros, E. *et al.* Rett syndrome in Spain: mutation analysis and clinical correlations. *Brain Dev.* **23** (Suppl. 1), S251–S253 (2001).
- 125 Colvin, L. *et al.* Describing the phenotype in Rett syndrome using a population database. *Arch. Dis. Child.* **88**, 38-43 (2003).
- 126 Bebbington, A. *et al.* Investigating genotype–phenotype relationships in Rett syndrome using an international data set. *Neurology* **70**, 868-875 (2008).
- 127 Neul, J. L. *et al.* Specific mutations in methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 confer different severity in Rett syndrome. *Neurology* **70**, 1313-1321 (2008).
- References 126 and 127 described the first adequately sized samples to provide definitive information about genotype–phenotype relationships. The findings were broadly similar, with the most severe MECP2 mutations being Arg270X, Arg255X and Arg168X, whereas Arg133Cys and Arg294X had less-severe effects. Overall, individuals with severe mutations were less likely to walk, retain hand use, or use words.**
- 128 Fehr, S. *et al.* Altered attainment of developmental milestones influences the age of diagnosis of Rett syndrome. *J. Child Neurol.* **26**, 980-987 (2011).
- 129 Bebbington, A. *et al.* The phenotype associated with a large deletion on MECP2. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* **20**, 921-927 (2012).
- 130 Archer, H. *et al.* Correlation between clinical severity in patients with Rett syndrome with a p.R168X or p.T158M MECP2 mutation, and the direction and degree of skewing of X-chromosome inactivation. *J. Med. Genet.* **44**, 148-152 (2007).
- One of the few papers to undertake a thorough examination of the effects of X-chromosome inactivation in individuals with the same mutation, namely, the two common MECP2 mutations Arg168X and Thr158Met. A statistically significant increase in clinical severity with increase in the proportion of active mutated allele was shown for both these mutations.**
- 131 Zeev, B. B. *et al.* The common BDNF polymorphism may be a modifier of disease severity in Rett syndrome. *Neurology* **72**, 1242-1247 (2009).
- This study investigated the effect of a potential genetic modifier, the BDNF Val66Met polymorphism, on clinical severity. In individuals with the Arg168X mutation in MECP2, heterozygosity for the Val66Met polymorphism was associated with an increase in Rett syndrome severity and earlier age of seizure onset in comparison with individuals homozygous for the wild-type BDNF allele.**
- 132 Kondo, M. *et al.* Environmental enrichment ameliorates a motor coordination deficit in a mouse model of Rett syndrome — Mecp2 gene dosage effects and BDNF expression. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **27**, 3342-3350 (2008).
- 133 Lee, J. Y., Leonard, H., Piek, J. P. & Downs, J. Early development and regression in Rett syndrome. *Clin. Genet.* **84**, 572-576 (2013).
- 134 Downs, J. *et al.* Level of purposeful hand function as a marker of clinical severity in Rett syndrome. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **52**, 817-823 (2010).
- 135 Downs, J. *et al.* Validating the Rett Syndrome Gross Motor Scale. *PLoS ONE* **11**, e0147555 (2016).
- 136 Foley, K. R. *et al.* Change in gross motor abilities of girls and women with Rett syndrome over a 3- to 4-year period. *J. Child Neurol.* **26**, 1237-1245 (2011).

- 137 Anderson, A., Wong, K., Jacoby, P., Downs, J. & Leonard, H. Twenty years of surveillance in Rett syndrome: what does this tell us? *Orphanet J. Rare Dis.* **9**, 87 (2014).
- 138 Sigafos, J. Communication intervention in Rett syndrome: a systematic review. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **3**, 304 (2009).
- 139 Lotan, M., Schenker, R., Wine, J. & Downs, J. The conductive environment enhances gross motor function of girls with Rett syndrome. A pilot study. *Dev. Neurorehabil.* **15**, 19-25 (2012).
- 140 Glaze, D. G., Frost, J. D. Jr, Zoghbi, H. Y. & Percy, A. K. Rett's syndrome. Correlation of electroencephalographic characteristics with clinical staging. *Arch. Neurol.* **44**, 1053-1056 (1987).
- 141 Glaze, D. G., Schultz, R. J. & Frost, J. D. Rett syndrome: characterization of seizures versus non-seizures. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* **106**, 79-83 (1998).
- 142 Steffenburg, U., Hagberg, G. & Hagberg, B. Epilepsy in a representative series of Rett syndrome. *Acta Paediatr.* **90**, 34-39 (2001).
- 143 Jian, L. *et al.* Predictors of seizure onset in Rett syndrome. *J. Pediatr.* **149**, 542-547 (2006).
- 144 Jian, L. *et al.* Seizures in Rett syndrome: an overview from a one-year calendar study. *Eur. J. Paediatr. Neurol.* **11**, 310-317 (2007).
- 145 Glaze, D. G. *et al.* Epilepsy and the natural history of Rett syndrome. *Neurology* **74**, 909-912 (2010).

This study used the Rare Disease Consortium Research Network for Rett syndrome to identify 602 cases who met the criteria for classic or atypical Rett syndrome. Just under half of the cohort had seizures according to physician assessment. Individuals with the *MECP2* Thr158Met or Arg106Trp mutation were most likely to have epilepsy (74% and 78%, respectively), and those with the Arg255X or Arg306Cys mutation were least likely to have epilepsy (both 49%).

- 146 Bao, X., Downs, J., Wong, K., Williams, S. & Leonard, H. Using a large international sample to investigate epilepsy in Rett syndrome. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **55**, 553-558 (2013).
- 147 Nissenkorn, A. *et al.* Epilepsy in Rett syndrome — lessons from the Rett networked database. *Epilepsia* **56**, 569-576 (2015).
- 148 Schultz, R., Glaze, D., Motil, K., Hebert, D. & Percy, A. Hand and foot growth failure in Rett syndrome. *J. Child Neurol.* **13**, 71-74 (1998).
- 149 Motil, K. J., Schultz, R., Brown, B., Glaze, D. G. & Percy, A. K. Altered energy balance may account for growth failure in Rett syndrome. *J. Child Neurol.* **9**, 315-319 (1994).
- 150 Oddy, W. H. *et al.* Feeding experiences and growth status in a Rett syndrome population. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **45**, 582-590 (2007).
- 151 Platte, P., Jaschke, H., Herbert, C. & Korenke, G. C. Increased resting metabolic rate in girls with Rett syndrome compared to girls with developmental disabilities. *Neuropediatrics* **42**, 179-182 (2011).
- 152 Tarquinio, D. C. *et al.* Growth failure and outcome in Rett syndrome: specific growth references. *Neurology* **79**, 1653-1661 (2012).

This study created growth charts for head circumference, weight, height and BMI on the basis of 9,749 observations of 816 females with Rett syndrome. Growth was decreased compared with a normative US population, and pubertal increases in height and weight were not observed.

- 153 Downs, J. *et al.* Experience of gastrostomy using a quality care framework: the example of Rett syndrome. *Medicine (Baltimore)* **93**, e328 (2014).
- 154 Leonard, H. *et al.* Assessment and management of nutrition and growth in Rett syndrome. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **57**, 451-460 (2013).
- 155 Julu, P. O. *et al.* Characterisation of breathing and associated central autonomic dysfunction in the Rett disorder. *Arch. Dis. Child.* **85**, 29-37 (2001).
- 156 Weese-Mayer, D. E. *et al.* Autonomic nervous system dysregulation: breathing and heart rate perturbation during wakefulness in young girls with Rett syndrome. *Pediatr. Res.* **60**, 443-449 (2006).
- 157 Baikie, G. *et al.* Gastrointestinal dysmotility in Rett syndrome. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **58**, 237-244 (2014).
- 158 Lioy, D. T., Wu, W. W. & Bissonnette, J. M. Autonomic dysfunction with mutations in the gene that encodes methyl-CpG-binding protein 2: insights into Rett syndrome. *Auton. Neurosci.* **161**, 55-62 (2011).
- 159 Hagberg, B. & Romell, M. Rett females: patterns of characteristic side-asymmetric neuroimpairments at long-term follow-up. *Neuropediatrics* **33**, 324-326 (2002).
- 160 Downs, J. *et al.* The natural history of scoliosis in females with Rett syndrome. *Spine (Phila. Pa 1976)* **41**, 856-863 (2016).
- 161 Percy, A. K. *et al.* Profiling scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *Pediatr. Res.* **67**, 435-439 (2010).
- 162 Sponseller, P. D., Yazici, M., Demetracopoulos, C. & Emans, J. B. Evidence basis for management of spine and chest wall deformities in children. *Spine (Phila. Pa 1976)* **32**, S81-S90 (2007).
- 163 Downs, J. *et al.* Guidelines for management of scoliosis in Rett syndrome patients based on expert consensus and clinical evidence. *Spine (Phila. Pa 1976)* **34**, E607-E617 (2009).
- 164 Downs, J. *et al.* Surgical fusion of early onset severe scoliosis increases survival in Rett syndrome: a cohort study. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **58**, 632-638 (2016).
Using the Australian population-based database, this study demonstrated the effects of spinal fusion to treat severe scoliosis in Rett syndrome. The findings indicated that survival was better in individuals who underwent surgery than in those who received conservative management, especially if scoliosis developed before 8 years of age.
- 165 Downs, J., Forbes, D., Johnson, M. & Leonard, H. How can clinical ethics guide the management of comorbidities in the child with Rett syndrome? *J. Paediatr. Child Health* **52**, 809-813 (2016).
- 166 Ellaway, C., Peat, J., Leonard, H. & Christodoulou, J. Sleep dysfunction in Rett syndrome: lack of age related decrease in sleep duration. *Brain Dev* **23** (Suppl. 1), S101-S103 (2001).
- 167 Young, D. *et al.* Sleep problems in Rett syndrome. *Brain Dev* **29**, 609-616 (2007).
- 168 Wong, K., Leonard, H., Jacoby, P., Ellaway, C. & Downs, J. The trajectories of sleep disturbances in Rett syndrome. *J. Sleep Res.* **24**, 223-233 (2015).
- 169 Boban, S. *et al.* Determinants of sleep disturbances in Rett syndrome: novel findings in relation to genotype. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **170**, 2292-2300 (2016).
- 170 McArthur, A. J. & Budden, S. S. Sleep dysfunction in Rett syndrome: a trial of exogenous melatonin treatment. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **40**, 186-192 (1998).

- 171 Haas, R. H., Dixon, S. D., Sartoris, D. J. & Hennessy, M. J. Osteopenia in Rett
syndrome. *J. Pediatr.* **131**, 771-774 (1997).
- 172 Leonard, H. *et al.* A population-based approach to the investigation of osteopenia in
Rett syndrome. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **41**, 323-328 (1999).
- 173 Downs, J. *et al.* Early determinants of fractures in Rett syndrome. *Pediatrics* **121**,
540-546 (2008).
- 174 Roende, G. *et al.* DXA measurements in Rett syndrome reveal small bones with low
bone mass. *J. Bone Miner. Res.* **26**, 2280-2286 (2011).
- 175 Roende, G. *et al.* Patients with Rett syndrome sustain low-energy fractures. *Pediatr.*
Res. **69**, 359-364 (2011).
- 176 Motil, K. J., Ellis, K. J., Barrish, J. O., Caeg, E. & Glaze, D. G. Bone mineral content and
bone mineral density are lower in older than in younger females with Rett
syndrome. *Pediatr. Res.* **64**, 435-439 (2008).
- 177 Shapiro, J. R. *et al.* Bone mass in Rett syndrome: association with clinical parameters
and *MECP2* mutations. *Pediatr. Res.* **68**, 446-451 (2010).
- 178 Jefferson, A. L. *et al.* Bone mineral content and density in Rett syndrome and their
contributing factors. *Pediatr. Res.* **69**, 293-298 (2011).
- 179 Jefferson, A. *et al.* Longitudinal bone mineral content and density in Rett syndrome
and their contributing factors. *Bone* **74**, 191-198 (2015).
- 180 Motil, K. J. *et al.* Vitamin D deficiency is prevalent in girls and women with Rett
syndrome. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **53**, 569-574 (2011).
- 181 Leonard, H. *et al.* Valproate and risk of fracture in Rett syndrome. *Arch. Dis. Child.*
95, 444-448 (2010).
- 182 Roende, G. *et al.* Low bone turnover phenotype in Rett syndrome: results of
biochemical bone marker analysis. *Pediatr. Res.* **75**, 551-558 (2014).
- 183 Jefferson, A. *et al.* Clinical guidelines for management of bone health in Rett
Syndrome based on expert consensus and available evidence. *PLoS ONE* **11**,
e0146824 (2016).
- 184 Lotan, M., Reves-Siesel, R., Eliav-Shalev, R. S. & Merrick, J. Osteoporosis in Rett
syndrome: a case study presenting a novel management intervention for severe
osteoporosis. *Osteoporos. Int.* **24**, 3059-3063 (2013).
- 185 Katz, D. M. *et al.* Rett syndrome: crossing the threshold to clinical translation. *Trends*
Neurosci. **39**, 100-113 (2016).
- 186 Gadalla, K. K., Bailey, M. E. & Cobb, S. R. MeCP2 and Rett syndrome: reversibility and
potential avenues for therapy. *Biochem. J.* **439**, 1-14 (2011).
- 187 Pozzo-Miller, L., Pati, S. & Percy, A. K. Rett syndrome: reaching for clinical trials.
Neurotherapeutics **12**, 631-640 (2015).
- 188 Ricceri, L., De Filippis, B. & Laviola, G. Rett syndrome treatment in mouse models:
searching for effective targets and strategies. *Neuropharmacology* **68**, 106-115
(2013).
- 189 Lang, M. *et al.* Rescue of behavioral and EEG deficits in male and female *Mecp2*-
deficient mice by delayed *Mecp2* gene reactivation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **23**, 303-318
(2014).
- 190 Gadalla, K. K. *et al.* Improved survival and reduced phenotypic severity following
AAV9/*MECP2* gene transfer to neonatal and juvenile male *Mecp2* knockout mice.
Mol. Ther. **21**, 18-30 (2013).

- 191 Garg, S. K. *et al.* Systemic delivery of MeCP2 rescues behavioral and cellular deficits in female mouse models of Rett syndrome. *J. Neurosci.* **33**, 13612-13620 (2013).
- 192 Gadalla, K. E. *et al.* Gene therapy for Rett syndrome: prospects and challenges. *Future Neurol.* **10**, 467-484 (2015).
- 193 Chao, H. T. & Zoghbi, H. Y. MeCP2: only 100% will do. *Nat. Neurosci.* **15**, 176-177 (2012).
- 194 Bhatnagar, S. *et al.* Genetic and pharmacological reactivation of the mammalian inactive X chromosome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 12591-12598 (2014).
- 195 Vecsler, M. *et al.* *Ex vivo* treatment with a novel synthetic aminoglycoside NB54 in primary fibroblasts from Rett syndrome patients suppresses *MECP2* nonsense mutations. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e20733 (2011).
- 196 Brendel, C. *et al.* Readthrough of nonsense mutations in Rett syndrome: evaluation of novel aminoglycosides and generation of a new mouse model. *J. Mol. Med. (Berl.)* **89**, 389-398 (2011).
- 197 Schanen, C. *et al.* Phenotypic manifestations of *MECP2* mutations in classical and atypical Rett syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A* **126A**, 129-140 (2004).
- 198 Khwaja, O. S. *et al.* Safety, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary assessment of efficacy of mecasermin (recombinant human IGF-1) for the treatment of Rett syndrome. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 4596-4601 (2014).
- 199 FitzGerald, P. M., Jankovic, J. & Percy, A. K. Rett syndrome and associated movement disorders. *Mov. Disord.* **5**, 195-202 (1990).
- 200 Mount, R. H., Charman, T., Hastings, R. P., Reilly, S. & Cass, H. The Rett Syndrome Behaviour Questionnaire (RSBQ): refining the behavioural phenotype of Rett syndrome. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* **43**, 1099-1110 (2002).
- 201 Robertson, L. *et al.* The association between behavior and genotype in Rett syndrome using the Australian Rett Syndrome Database. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.* **141B**, 177-183 (2006).
- 202 Barnes, K. V. *et al.* Anxiety-like behavior in Rett syndrome: characteristics and assessment by anxiety scales. *J. Neurodev. Disord.* **7**, 30 (2015).
- 203 Neul, J. L. *et al.* Improving treatment trial outcomes for Rett syndrome: the development of Rett-specific anchors for the Clinical Global Impression Scale. *J. Child Neurol.* **30**, 1743-1748 (2015).
- 204 Weese-Mayer, D. E. *et al.* Autonomic dysregulation in young girls with Rett syndrome during nighttime in-home recordings. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **43**, 1045-1060 (2008).
- 205 Kozinetz, C. A. *et al.* Epidemiology of Rett syndrome: a population-based registry. *Pediatrics* **91**, 445-450 (1993).
- 206 Leonard, H., Bower, C. & English, D. The prevalence and incidence of Rett syndrome in Australia. *Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry* **6** (Suppl. 1), 8-10 (1997).
- 207 Fehr, S. *et al.* Trends in the diagnosis of Rett syndrome in Australia. *Pediatr. Res.* **70**, 313-319 (2011).
- 208 Corbett, J. & Kerr, A. Rett syndrome: from gene to gesture. *J. R. Soc. Med.* **87**, 562-566 (1994).
- 209 Young, D. *et al.* The relationship between *MECP2* mutation type and health status and service use trajectories over time in a Rett syndrome population. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **5**, 442-449 (2011).

- 210 Colvin, L. *et al.* Refining the phenotype of common mutations in Rett syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.* **41**, 25-30 (2004).
- 211 Leonard, H. *et al.* Resourceful and creative methods are necessary to research rare disorders. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **55**, 870-871 (2013).
- 212 Percy, A. The American history of Rett syndrome. *Pediatr. Neurol.* **50**, 1-3 (2014).
- 213 Louise, S. *et al.* InterRett, a model for international data collection in a rare genetic disorder. *Res. Autism Spectr. Disord.* **3**, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2008.12.004> (2009).
- 214 Christodoulou, J., Grimm, A., Maher, T. & Bennetts, B. RettBASE: the IRSA *MECP2* variation database — a new mutation database in evolution. *Hum. Mutat.* **21**, 466-472 (2003).
- 215 Hunter, K. Role of the International Rett Syndrome Association. *J. Child Neurol.* **3** (Suppl.), S87-S88 (1988).
- 216 Hunter, K. Looking from the inside out: a parent's perspective. *Ment. Retard. Dev. Disabil. Res. Rev.* **8**, 77-81 (2002).
- 217 Kerr, A. M., Webb, P., Prescott, R. J. & Milne, Y. Results of surgery for scoliosis in Rett syndrome. *J. Child Neurol.* **18**, 703-708 (2003).
- 218 Downs, J. *et al.* Family satisfaction following spinal fusion in Rett syndrome. *Dev. Neurorehabil.* **19**, 31-37 (2016).
- 219 Freilinger, M. *et al.* Survival with Rett syndrome: comparing Rett's original sample with data from the Australian Rett Syndrome Database. *Dev. Med. Child Neurol.* **52**, 962-965 (2010).
- This study compared survival in Rett's original cohort with an Australian population-based cohort in 2009, and demonstrated that survival at 25 years had increased from 21% to 71%. These findings have major implications for the clinical care of individuals with Rett syndrome into adulthood.**
- 220 Kirby, R. S. *et al.* Longevity in Rett syndrome: analysis of the North American Database. *J. Pediatr.* **156**, 135-138.e1 (2010).
- 221 Tarquinio, D. C. *et al.* The changing face of survival in Rett syndrome and *MECP2*-related disorders. *Pediatr. Neurol.* **53**, 402-411 (2015).
- 222 Leonard, H. *et al.* How can the Internet help parents of children with rare neurologic disorders? *J. Child Neurol.* **19**, 902-907 (2004).

Author contributions

The authors contributed equally to all aspects of the manuscript.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

Key points

- In the 50 years since its description by Andreas Rett, we have witnessed an explosion of knowledge about Rett syndrome (RTT) in relation to its genetic basis and clinical characteristics, and their interrelationships
- Initially, the diagnosis of RTT was based solely on clinical criteria, but identification of its genetic cause has revolutionized this process, while presenting new challenges as we enter the era of next-generation sequencing
- Mutations in the methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) gene were found to be

causative of RTT, accounting for fundamentally altered neurobiological pathways, and providing the stimulus to identifying pathways that can be manipulated therapeutically

- The type of *MECP2* mutation is associated with clinical severity, and influences many aspects of the phenotype, including functional abilities, onset of scoliosis, bone health, and sleep disturbances
- Considerable progress has been made in understanding the natural history of RTT, leading to improvement in clinical management in selected areas, and changes in attitudes and allocation of health-care resources have increased life expectancy
- The advancement in knowledge about RTT has been dependent on global efforts to study this disorder, including the establishment of database infrastructures, the input of advocacy groups, and the development of international collaborations

Box 1 | Severe Rett syndrome phenotype

JP is a 12-year-old girl with the Arg270X mutation in the methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) gene (Supplementary information S1 (video)). She learned to sit at 9 months, but did not learn to walk. She did learn to say “mum”, “dad” and “nan”, but she found it difficult to grasp objects. Her mother was concerned about her poor developmental progress and jerky movements, and sought specialist advice when, at 14 months, her daughter suddenly stopped using words and developed hand stereotypies. Rett syndrome (RTT) was confirmed at the age of 2 years by the presence of a *MECP2* Arg270X mutation, an early diagnosis that was consistent with her severe clinical presentation (FIGS 2–5).

JP exhibited early regression of communication skills but no apparent loss of hand function. As a young child, she could grasp a large object but could not hold it — a feature that she still demonstrates today. JP illustrates many other features of RTT. Altered breathing patterns first developed at 18 months, and she still experiences daily hyperventilation and breath-holding with abdominal bloating. Epilepsy was diagnosed at the age of 4 years 8 months, although her seizures are currently well controlled. Since the age of 9 years, she has been fed via a gastrostomy tube to ensure adequate fluids and nutrition, and to protect her respiratory health. Scoliosis was diagnosed at 5 years, and she underwent spinal fusion at 9 years. She has also sustained several long bone fractures in the lower limbs.

JP’s sleep is regularly disturbed; she grinds her teeth, has a high pain tolerance and also has small and cold feet. She has experienced many episodes of bronchitis, although her respiratory health improved markedly after her spinal fusion. Unusually, JP recently developed inflammatory bowel disease. There are many limitations to her health and functioning, but she also lives a full life. She loves larking around with her family — her big eyes light up — and she enjoys school, music and swimming .

Box 2 | Mild Rett syndrome phenotype

KZ is a 13-year-old girl with a Pro389X mutation in the methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) gene (Supplementary information S2 (video)). This teenage girl illustrates the mild phenotype of a C-terminal deletion, possibly further influenced by another genetic modifier.

Initially, KZ progressed well, learning to walk at 12 months, and feeding herself and using four-word sentences as a toddler. However, speech delay, poor sleep and tremulous movements, which developed when she was 2 years old, raised some concerns. KZ’s mother began to suspect RTT when her daughter was 4 years old. After KZ developed epilepsy at 8

years of age, the possibility of RTT was dismissed because of her high level of gross motor skills (walking well across different terrains), good hand use and ability to maintain a conversation. In addition, her head growth had not decelerated. Her mother's continued concern led to further genetic testing at 12 years, which demonstrated an *MECP2* C-terminal deletion (Pro389X). The lateness of diagnosis was consistent with her mild clinical presentation (FIGS 3–5).

In retrospect, KZ experienced loss of finesse in her ability to turn pages when she was 2–3 years old, but showed no loss of communication skills. Her gait is mildly ataxic and she developed mild hand stereotypies at age of 6 years. She has had ongoing sleep disturbances since 3 years, her feet are cold and small, she has decreased sensitivity to pain, and displays substantial tremor, which is managed with trihexyphenidyl and a vagal nerve stimulator. Consistent with the C-terminal phenotype, her growth is good. A diagnosis for this young girl has provided important answers to her family.

Beyond Rett syndrome, KZ is deeply involved in family, school and community life. She loves fun times with her father and competes in the Special Olympics in horse riding. Her diagnosis is an important part of her life, but is also compatible with learning and participation.

Figure 1 | Timeline of key events and discoveries in Rett syndrome.

Figure 2 | The *MECP2* gene and Rett syndrome. The figure shows the structure of the methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) gene, and key MeCP2 protein domains implicated in Rett syndrome (RTT) pathogenesis. **a** | The two known mRNA isoforms, *MECP2_e1* and *MECP2_e2*, generate two protein isoforms, which differ only at the extreme N-termini owing to the use of alternative translation start sites (bent arrows) and selective inclusion of exon 2 in the transcript. **b** | The MeCP2 protein contains distinct functional domains that are pertinent to RTT pathology: the methyl-CpG-binding domain (MBD), the transcriptional repression domain (TRD), NID, the NCOR–SMRT interaction domain (NID), and the nuclear localization signal (NLS). Missense mutations causing RTT predominantly cluster across the MBD and TRD/NID, whereas neutral variants tend to lie outside these domains. The locations of common RTT-causing point mutations are indicated, as is the region in which common C-terminal deletions occur.

Figure 3 | Rett syndrome severity and age at diagnosis by mutation type. **a** | Association between clinical severity and methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) mutation type in 974 (Pineda) and 776 (Percy) individuals with Rett syndrome (RTT). Data points are the mean scores adjusted for age and data source, with 95% confidence intervals.¹²⁹ **b** | Age at diagnosis by mutation type in 1,040 individuals with RTT. Data points indicate the median age. Data from the Australian and International Rett Syndrome (InterRett) databases.

Figure 4 | Functional abilities and mutation type in Rett syndrome. Graphs show the relationship between methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) mutation type and functional ability in individuals with Rett syndrome (RTT). Data were obtained from the International Rett Syndrome Database. **a** | Ambulation ability in 1,112 individuals with RTT. **b** | Hand use acquisition and loss in 1,097 individuals with Rett syndrome. **c** | Language ability and history in 1,046 individuals with RTT.

Figure 5 | Comorbidities and mutation type in Rett syndrome. **a** | Incidence of epilepsy onset by methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (*MECP2*) mutation type in 560 individuals with Rett syndrome (RTT). Data points are the mean incidence, with 95% confidence intervals.¹⁴⁵ **b** | Incidence of scoliosis diagnosis by *MECP2* mutation type in 392 individuals with RTT. Data points are the mean incidence, with 95% confidence intervals.¹⁶⁰ **c** | Relationship between sleep disturbances (disorders of initiating and maintaining sleep, or DIMS) and *MECP2* mutation type in 325 individuals with RTT. Data points are the mean DIMS score adjusted for age, seizure frequency and mobility, with 95% confidence intervals.¹⁶⁸

Figure 6 | Therapeutic strategies for Rett syndrome. Primary therapeutic strategies and compounds being investigated in preclinical animal models and in clinical trials (asterisks). IGF-1, insulin-like growth factor 1; *MECP2*, methyl-CpG-binding protein 2.